4/17/2017

CS 419

Computer Security

12. Web Security

Paul Krzyzanowski Rutgers University Spring 2017

April 17, 201

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowsk

Original Browser

- · Static content on clients
- · Serv ers were responsible for dy namic parts
- · Security attacks were focused on servers
- Malformed URLs, buffer overflows, root paths, unicode attacks

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowsk

Today's Browsers

Complex!

- · JavaScript allows code execution
- Document Object Model (DOM) change appearance of page
- XMLHttpRequest (AJAX) asynchronously fetch content
- WebSockets open interactive communication session between JavaScript on a browser and a server
- Multimedia support <audio>, <video>, <track>
 - MediaStream recording (audio and video), speech recognition & synthesis
- Geolocation
- NaCl run native code inside a browser (sandboxed)

Anril 17 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowski

Complexity creates a huge threat surface

- More features → more bugs
- · Browsers experienced a rapid introduction of features
- · Browser vendors don't necessarily conform to all specs
- · Check out

quirksmode.org

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowski

Multiple sources

- Most desktop & mobile apps come from one place
- They may use external libraries, but those are linked in and tested
- · Web apps usually have components from different places
- E.g., www.cnn.com has
- Fonts from cdn.cnn.com
- Images from tumer.com, outbrain.com, bleacherreport.net, chartbeat.net
- Scripts from amazon-adsystem.com, rubicorproject.com, bing.com, krxd.net, gigya.com, krxd.net, livefyre.com, fyre.co, optimizely.com, facebook.net, cnn.com, criteo.com, outbrain.com, sharethrough.com, doubleclick.net, googletagservices.com, ugdtumer.com
- XMLHttpRequests from zone-manager.izi, optimizely.com, chartbeat.com, cnn.io, rubiconproject.com
- Other content from scorecardresearch.com, imnworldwide.com, facebook.com

April 17, 201

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowsk

What should code on a page have access to?

- Can analytics code access JavaScript state from a script from jQuerycom on the same page?
 - Scripts are from different places ... but the page author selected them
- · Can analytics scripts interact with event handlers?
- · How about embedded frames?

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowski

Same-origin Policy

- · Web application security model: same-origin policy
- A browser permits scripts in one page to access data in a second page only if both pages have the same origin

Origin = { URI scheme, hostname, port number }

- · Same origin
 - http://www.poopybrain.com/419/test.html
- http://www.poopybrain.com/index.html
- · Different origin
- https://www.poopybrain.com/index.html different URI scheme (https)
- http://www.poopybrain.com:8080/index.html different port
- http://poopybrain.com/index.html different host

Ideas behind the same-origin policy

- · Each origin has client-side resources
 - Cookies: simple way to implement state
 - · Browser sends cookies associated with the origin - JavaScript namespace: functions & variables
- DOM storage: key-value storage per origin
- DOM tree: JavaScript version of the HTML structure
- · Each frame gets the origin of its URL
- · JavaScript code executes with the authority of its frame's origin
 - If cnn.com loads JavaScript from jQuery.com, the script runs with the authority of cnn com
- Passive content (CSS files, images) has <u>no</u> authority
- It doesn't (and shouldn't) contain executable code

Can two different frames communicate?

- Generally, no they're isolated if they're not the same origin
- · But postMessage() allows two independent frames to communicate
- · Both sides have to opt in

Passive content has no authority

- · Makes sense ... but why does it matter?
- · MIME sniffing attack
- Possible security problems if browser parses object incorrectly
- Old versions of IE would examine leading bytes of object to fix wrong file types provided by the user
- Suppose a page contained passive content from an untrusted site
- Attacker could add HTML & JavaScript to the content · IE would reclassify the content

Cross-origin weirdness

- Images
- A frame can load images from anywhere
- Same-origin policy does not allow it to inspect the image
- However, it can infer the size of the rendered image
- · CSS
 - A frame can embed CSS from any origin but cannot inspect the test inside the
 - But: it can discover what the CSS does by creating DOM nodes and seeing how styling changes
- A frame can fetch JavaScript and execute it ... but not inspect it
- But ... you can call myfunction.toString() to get the source
- Or ... just download the source via a curl command and look at it

Cross-Origin Resource Sharing (CORS)

- · A page can contain content from multiple origins
- Images, CSS, scripts, iframes, videos
- · XMLHttpRequests are not permitted
 - CORS allows servers to define allowable origins
 - Example, a server at service.example.com may respond with Access-Control-Allow-Origin: http://www.example.com
 - Stating that it will allow treating www.example.com as the same origin

Cookies

· Cookies are identified with a domain & a path

*.pk.org/419

All paths in the domain have access to the cookie

- · Whoever sets the cookie chooses what domain & paths looks like
- JavaScript can set
 - document.cookie = "usemame=paul"
- Server can set cookies by sending them in the HTTP header
- · When a browser generates an HTTP request it sends all matching cookies

Cookies

- · Cookies are often used to track server sessions
 - If malicious code can modify the cookie or give it to someone else, an attacker may be able to
 - View your shopping cart
 - · Get or use your login credentials
 - · Have your web documents or email get stored into a different account
- · HttpOnly flag: disallows scripts from accessing the cookie
 - Sent in a Set-Cookie HTTP response header
- · Secure flag: send the cookie only over https

Set-Cookie: username=paul; path=/; HttpOnly; Secure

Cross-Site Request Forgery (XSRF)

- · A browser sends cookies for a site along with a request
- · If an attacker gets a user to access a site ... the user's cookies will be sent with that request
- · If the cookies contain the user's identity or session state
- The attacker can create actions on behalf of the user
- · Planting the link
- Forums or spam

http://mybank.com/?action=transfer&amount=100000&to=attacker_account

Cross-Site Request Forgery (XSRF)

- Defenses
- Validate the Referer header at the server
- Require unique tokens per request
- · Add randomness to the URL that attackers will not be able to guess
- · E.g., legitimate server can set tokens via hidden fields instead of cookies
- Default-deny browser policy for cross-site requests (but may interfere with legitimate uses)

Clickjacking

- · Attacker overlays an image to trick a user to clicking a button or link
- · User sees this



- · Not realizing there's an invisible frame over the image
- · Clicking there could generate a Facebook like
- ... or download malware ... or change security settings for the Flash plugin
- JavaScript in the legitimate code to check that it's the top layer window.self == window.top
- Set X-Frame-Options to not allow frames from other domains

Screen sharing attack

- · HTML5 added a screen sharing API
- · Normally: no cross-origin communication from client to server
- · This is violated with the screen sharing API
- If a frame is granted permission to take a screenshot, it can get a screenshot of the entire display (monitor, windows, browser)
- Can also get screenshots within the user's browser without consent
- User might not be aware of the scope of screen sharing

http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2650789 http://mews.sv.cmu.edu/papers/oakland-14.pd

Input sanitization

- · Remember SQL injection attacks?
- · Any user input must be parsed carefully

<script> var x = "untrusted_data"; </script>

· Attacker can set untrusted data to something like:

Hi"; </script> <h1> Hey, some text! </h1> <script> malicious code... </script>

- · Sanitization applies to any user input that may be part of
 - _ HTM
- URL
- JavaScript
- CSS

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowski

Shellshock attack

- · Discovered in 2014 Existed since 1989!
- · Privilege escalation vulnerability in bash
- Function export feature is buggy, allowing functions defined in one instance of bash to be available to other instances via environment variable lists
- · Web servers using CGI scripts (Common Gateway Interface)
- HTTP headers get converted to environment variables
- Command gets executed by the shell via system()

env x='() { ::}: echo vulnerable' bash -c "echo this is a test"

- · Bogus function definition in bash
 - Bash gets confused while parsing function definitions and executes the second part ("echo vulnerable"), which could invoke any operation

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krayranaue

Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

- · Code injection attack
- · Allows attacker to execute JavaScript in a user's browser
- · Exploit vulnerability in a website the victim visits
- Possible if the website includes user input in its pages
- Example: user content in forums (feedback, postings)
- · What's the harm?
- Access cookies related to that website
- Hijack a session
- Create arbitrary HTTP requests with arbitrary content via XMLHtttpRequest
- Make arbitrary modifications to the HTML document by modifying the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DOM}}$
- Install keyloggers
- Download malware or run JavaScript ransomware
- $-\mbox{ Try phishing by manipulating the DOM}$ and adding a fake login page

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowsk

Types of XSS attacks

- · Reflected XSS
- Malicious code is not stored anywhere
- It is returned as part of the HTTP response
- Only impacts users who open a malicious link or thirdparty web page
- · Attack string is part of the link
- Web application passes unvalidated input back to the client
 The script is in the link and is returned in its original form & executed
- The script is in the link and is returned links original form & executed

 $www.mysite.com/login.asp?user = \!\!< script > malicious_code(...) < \!\!/ script > \\$

- Persistent XSS
- Website stores user input and serves it back to other users at a later stage
- Victims do not have to dick on a malicious link to run the payload
- Example: forum commnts

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanows

XSS Defense

- One of the problems in preventing XSS is character encoding
 - Filters might check for "<script>" but not "%3cscript%3e"
- Key defense is sanitizing ALL user input
 - E.g., Django templates: hello, {{name}}
- Use a less-expressive markup language for user input
- E.g., markdown
- Privilege separation
- Use a different domain for untrusted content
- E.g., googleusercontent.com for static and semi-static content
- Limits damage to main domain
- · Content Security Policy (CSP)
- Designed to prevent XSS & clickjacking
- Allows website owners to identify approved origins of content & types of content

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowsk

SQL Injection & pathnames

We examined these earlier

SQL Injection

- · Many web sites use a back-end database
- · Links contain queries mixed with user input

query = "select * from table where user=" + username

- Pathnames
- · Escape the HTML directory

//mysite/images/../../etc/shadow

April 17, 2017

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanovski

4/17/2017

GIFAR attack

- · Java applets are sent as JAR files
- This is just a zip format
- Header is stored at the end of the file
- · GIF files are images
 - Header is stored at the <u>heginning</u> of the file
- · We can combine the two files: gif + jar
- · GIFAR attack
- Submit a GIFAR file (myimage.gif) to a site that only allows image uploads
- Use XSS to inject <applet archive: "myimage.gif":
- Code will run in the context of the server
- · Attacker gets to run with the authority of the origin (server)

Network addresses

- · A frame can send http & https requests to hosts that match the origin
- · The security of this is tied to the security of DNS
- Recall the DNS rebinding attack
- · Register attacker.com; get user to visit attacker.com
- Browser generates request for attacker.com
- DNS response contains a really short TTL
 After the first access, attacker reconfigures the DNS server
- Binds attacker.com to the victim's IP address
- Web site can now fetch a new object via AJAX
- Web browser thinks request goes to an external site
 Really, it goes to a server in the victim's network
- The attacker is now accessing data within the victim's servers and can send data back to an attacker's site
- · Solution nothing foolproof:
- Don't allow DNS resolutions to return internal addresses
- Force longer TTL

CS 419 © 2017 Paul Krzyzanowski

The situation is not good

- · HTML, JavaScript, and CSS continue to evolve
- · All have become incredibly complex
- · Web apps themselves can be incredibly complex, hence buggy
- · Web browsers are forgiving
 - You don't see errors
 - They try to correct syntax problems and guess what the author meant
- Usually, something gets rendered

The end